

EXHIBIT 2.8: HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT (HPSR) FORMAT AND CONTENT GUIDE

The Historic Property Survey Report (HPSR) is the summary document Caltrans uses as its consultation and decision-making document. Under the Section 106 PA, Caltrans uses it to request SHPO's concurrence on determinations of eligibility for properties that were evaluated within the project APE. *Use the HPSR with Findings-Transmit to State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) whenever there are resources within the APE that have been evaluated, regardless of the eligibility determination.* Caltrans uses the HPSR with Findings-HPSR to File only when there are NO properties (regardless of eligibility) within the APE. Note however that a "HPSR to File" HPSR may be used when SHPO has previously concurred that a property is not eligible and the SHPO letter is attached. Caltrans uses the HPSR with Findings-HPSR to SHPO to consult with SHPO on eligibility of properties while the HPSR with Findings-HPSR to File documents a decision made in-house for Section 106 compliance.

HPSR FORMAT

- Title page
- Summary of Findings
- Project Description
- Summary of Identification Efforts
- Public Participation
- Cultural Resources Identified
- No Historic Properties Affected Finding (as appropriate)
- Informal Views
- Exhibits
- Maps
- Back-up Reports
- Other Attachments

Don't forget the transmittal memo!

The HPSR may also document a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected as well as a Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions (when using an ESA to protect a property). For federal undertakings, the HPSR serves as simultaneous documentation for Caltrans compliance with CEQA and, for state-owned resources, compliance with Public Resources Code (PRC) §5024. However, state-only projects use the Historical Resources Compliance Report to demonstrate compliance with CEQA and PRC §5024; see [Chapter 2](#) and [Exhibit 2.15](#).

The HPSR documents completion of the identification phase, completion of National Register eligibility evaluation of the resources within the project APE, and, when relevant, a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected or No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions. Attach the technical study reports to the HPSR as supporting documentation. Use summary sections in the HPSR to refer to the attached supporting documents for full technical details. Provide sufficient information on cultural resource studies in the HPSR summaries so that the reader clearly can understand the reasoning behind Caltrans' determinations of eligibility and, if applicable finding of effect. See [Exhibit 2.18](#) for information on what needs to be in these summaries.

GENERAL HPSR FORMAT

The HPSR may either follow a narrative format or the “short form” format included at the end of this exhibit. The HPSR short form is available in electronic format through the Headquarters Cultural & Community Studies Office and on-line at the Division of Environmental Analysis website. The HPSR short form may be used when the project is simple or not large in scope or number of evaluated resources, or there are no properties within the APE. If a project is large, complex or controversial, however, it is recommended that the HPSR be prepared in narrative format instead of using the short form. Whichever format is used, be sure to include the following information as necessary in the appropriate HPSR:

A. Title Page

Identify project by Caltrans district, county, route, post mile limits, kilo posts, and EA. A brief descriptive title, such as "Minor Widening on Route 53 between Fern Creek and Matador Road," may also be used. Include the report author's name and any co-authors as necessary. A title page is not necessary when using either short form. The title page is not necessary when the HPSR short form is used.

B. Summary of Findings

State the purpose of the document (e.g., to request SHPO concurrence on Caltrans' determination(s) of National Register eligibility or ineligibility for evaluated cultural resources, and to document a proposed finding of “No Historic Properties Affected,” if applicable); and briefly summarize the results of cultural resource studies. This section is not necessary when the HPSR short form is used because the findings are summarized on the short form in Sections 7 or 8, as appropriate.

In order to minimize redundancy for Caltrans and SHPO and in the spirit of the federal Paperwork Reduction Act, if there are state-owned historical resources within the APE, state that the purpose of the HPSR also is to request SHPO's comments on the National Register/State Historical Landmark eligibility or ineligibility of the state-owned resource(s), and if eligible, to add the eligible building(s) or structure(s) to the Master List of Historical Resources (Master List), pursuant to PRC §5024(d).

C. Project Description and Location

- a) Describe the project concisely, including information on the actual physical impacts such as the horizontal and vertical extent of any ground disturbance.
- b) Include a map showing the project's location (see item I below).
- c) Provide a map of the area investigated, with the Area of Potential Effects (APE) delineated (see item H below).

D. Consulting Parties, Public Participation

Summarize coordination efforts and public comments received to date. If the project is simple, limit these to contacts (including form letter notifications) with local historical societies, Native Americans, governments, or other interest groups. For projects involving ND/FONSI or EIR/EISs, public involvement may include map displays or public hearings. Describe NEPA public involvement events that are expected as well as those that have occurred.

E. Summary of Identification Efforts

Include inventories, facilities, and persons consulted at a minimum, the following:

- National Register of Historic Places and updates;
- California Register of Historical Resources;
- California Inventory of Historic Resources;
- California Historical Landmarks;
- The appropriate Information Center of the California Historical Resources Information System.

Note also any other sources (human or archival) used, such as county assessors records, historical society or museum archives, oral histories taken from property owners or old-timers, etc.

Describe the results of the research.

F. Properties Identified

List cultural resources that were identified within the APE, and provide pertinent information for each of them. Include concise but sufficient background and contextual information about the project area so that the reader can understand the significance (or lack of significance) of the evaluated resources. Be sure to include summary information about National Register eligibility and whether a resource is a significant resource under CEQA. Note that Caltrans prepared documents state that a resource is eligible, while consultant prepared reports state that a resource appears eligible.

Include, as appropriate:

- a) Historic properties listed in the National Register.
- b) Historic properties previously determined eligible or not eligible for the National Register.
- c) Potentially eligible historic properties, referring to eligibility evaluations in attached supporting documentation.

- d) Properties which appear potentially eligible but for which further study is needed because evaluation was not possible (e.g., archaeological sites that require a test excavation to determine eligibility).
- e) Resources evaluated as not eligible for inclusion the National Register, referring to relevant attached supporting documentation.
- f) State-owned historical buildings and structures to be added to the Master List per PRC §5024.
- g) Historical Resources for the purposes of CEQA (includes National Register and California Register listed and eligible properties, California Register listed or eligible (per State Historical Resources Commission determination) resources, resources identified as significant in surveys that meet Office of Historic Preservation standards, resources that are designated landmarks under local ordinances, and resources that meet the California Register criteria as outlined in PRC §5024.1)
- h) Resources that are not significant resources under CEQA

To facilitate SHPO's ability to maintain the OHP's comprehensive, computerized inventories of eligible and not eligible resources, the identifying information for each resource needs to follow a specific format that includes the name of a property (if there is one), location information, the community the resource is in (or the nearest community) and the Map Reference number that is keyed to the APE map, and OHP's historical resource status code, if available. For example:

The following properties are eligible for inclusion in National Register and are historical resources under CEQA:

Name	Address/Location	Community	OHP Status Code	Map Ref. #
Smith House	451 Main St.	Anywhere, CA	2	(MR #1)
Ortega Feed Store	10097 Highway 4	Anywhere (vic.), CA	2	(MR#5)

The following state-owned buildings and structures are eligible for inclusion in the National Register and to be included in the Master List pursuant to PRC §5024(d):

Name	Address/Location	Community	OHP Status Code	Map Ref. #
Edelberg House	496 Main St.	Anywhere, CA	4	(MR #25)
Summit Maintenance Stn	10099 Highway 4	Anywhere (vic.), CA	4	(MR #6)

None of the following properties are eligible for inclusion in the National Register and are not historical resources under CEQA:

Name	Address/Location	Community	OHP Status Map Ref. # Code	
Able's Prune Packing Plant	35 E. Canterbury St.	Someplace, CA	6	(MR #1)
Melville Apts.	8012 S. Oceanview Dr.	Someplace, CA	6	(MR #5)
Little River RR Via-duct	Next to SR 43, KP 10.7	El Nido, CA	6	(MR #18)

Do not list properties that meet the criteria for [Section 106 PA Attachment 4](#) (Properties Exempt from Evaluation). Instead include the appropriate paragraph as follows:

[Name of Caltrans architectural historian or qualified consultant architectural historian], who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in [Section 106 PA Attachment 1](#) as an Architectural Historian or above, has reviewed the project APE and confirmed that the only other properties present within the APE, including state-owned resources, meet the criteria for Section 106 PA Attachment 4 (Properties Exempt from Evaluation).

[Name of Caltrans archaeologist or qualified consultant archaeologist], who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in Section 106 PA Attachment 1 as a Lead Archaeological Surveyor or above, has reviewed the project APE and confirmed that the only other properties present within the APE meet the criteria for Section 106 PA Attachment 4 (Properties Exempt from Evaluation).

Provide the site trinomial (e.g., CA-TRI-433) for each archaeological site covered in the document. As some Information Centers have a backlog in assigning trinomials, using a primary number is acceptable for those counties. However, every effort should be made to acquire a trinomial for evaluated archaeological sites.

The OHP Historical Resources Status Codes were revised in August 2003. Among other changes California Register listings and eligibility determinations have been added as extensions to the code. For example "1S" means a historic property individually listed in the National Register and also listed in the California Register, while "1CS" means a property individually listed in the California Register only. As of August, 2003 status code "4" applies only to state-owned resources that are included in the Master List through the PRC §5024 compliance process. See the Office of Historic Preservation's (OHP) [Historical Resources Status Codes](#) for a full list of the codes.

G. Findings

Select the appropriate finding for the undertaking as a whole. Under the Programmatic Agreement, Caltrans is authorized to make eligibility determinations on behalf of FHWA. If a consultant is preparing the HPSR, the consultant states that properties "appear" eligible or not eligible. For state-owned resources, list which ones meet National Register/State Historical Landmark eligibility criteria, state that Caltrans requests SHPO's comments under PRC §5024, and for National Register/State His-

torical Landmark listed/eligible buildings and structures, that SHPO add them to the Master List per PRC §5024(d).

If Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESAs) are used to ensure that the project will have no effect on evaluated resources, describe the ESA here. Include all restrictions on activities within the ESA and describe how the restrictions will be enforced (e.g., by placing the restrictions in the PS&E, fencing, monitoring construction, etc.). Include any other relevant information to support the proposed finding.

Findings – HPSR to File

For the following findings, the HPSR is filed in the District office, the district sends a copy to CCSO, but the HPSR is not transmitted to SHPO for Section 106 purposes. If there are state-owned historic properties within the APE, Caltrans sends the HPSR to SHPO as noted below in Findings for state-owned historic properties:

- No properties requiring evaluation are present within the project APE.
- Properties previously determined not eligible in consultation with the SHPO, or formally determined not eligible by the Keeper of the National Register are present within the project APE. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.
- Properties previously determined eligible in consultation with the SHPO, or formally determined eligible by the Keeper of the National Register are present within the project APE, but will not be affected by the undertaking. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation IX.A and 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), is appropriate for this undertaking.

Findings – HPSR to SHPO

For the following findings, the District transmits the HPSR to SHPO and sends copies to copies to FHWA and CCSO:

- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined that there are properties evaluated as a result of the project that are not eligible for inclusion the National Register within the project APE. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C, Caltrans requests SHPO's concurrence in this determination.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined that there are properties evaluated as a result of the project that are eligible for inclusion in the National Register within the project APE. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C, Caltrans requests SHPO's concurrence in this determination.

- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation IX.A and 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), is appropriate for this undertaking.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions - ESAs, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation X.B(2) and 36 CFR 800.5(b), is appropriate for this undertaking.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions – Rehabilitation, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation X.B(2) and 36 CFR 800.5(b), is appropriate for this undertaking. [Name], who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in Section 106 PA Attachment 1 as Principal Architectural Historian, and has the appropriate education and experience, has reviewed the rehabilitation documentation and determined that the rehabilitation meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

Findings for State-Owned Properties – HPSR to SHPO

When there are state-owned historic properties in the APE, Caltrans must submit the HPSR to SHPO for compliance with PRC §5024, even when the finding is “no historic properties affected” under Section 106. For the following findings, the District sends the HPSR to SHPO and notes in the transmittal letter that the HPSR is being submitted to SHPO because there are state-owned historic properties in the APE.:

- Caltrans has determined that there are state-owned buildings and structures within the project limits that meet National Register and/or the State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and requests that SHPO add such resources to the Master List of Historical Resources pursuant to PRC §5024(d).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have no effect/no adverse effect to state-owned archaeological sites, objects, districts, landscapes within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have no effect on state-owned buildings and structures within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have no adverse effect on state-owned buildings and structures within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria. [Name of Caltrans PQS], [applicable PQS discipline/level] has reviewed the documentation and determined that it meets the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for the

Treatment of Historic Properties. Caltrans is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024.5.

- Caltrans has determined that this project will have an adverse effect to state-owned archaeological sites, objects, districts, landscapes within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have an adverse effect on state-owned buildings and structures within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria. Caltrans is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024.5.
- For state-owned qualified historical buildings and properties within the project limits, Caltrans has applied the California Historical Building Code (CHBC) to relevant sections of the current code(s) and/or standards and, if applicable, has consulted with the State Historical Building Safety Board (SHBSB) through its Executive Director pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 18961 and its implementing regulations at California Code of Regulations Title 24 Part 8 Section 8-103.2.

H. HPSR Preparation and Caltrans Approval

Under the Section 106 PA the Caltrans PQS or a qualified consultant prepares the HPSR. Only Caltrans PQS may review HPSRs for approval, which the DEBC approves. The Caltrans PQS or consultant who prepares the HPSR signs, dates and includes his/her discipline, PQS level (as applicable) and District/Headquarters or affiliation. The Caltrans PQS reviewing the HPSR for approval likewise signs, dates, and includes his/her PQS discipline, level and District.

I. Exhibits

Include the following exhibits as applicable. If using the HPSR short form, these items are listed in “Section 6 List of Attached Documentation,” and attached to the short form.

a) Location Map, Project Vicinity Map and APE Map

Include, at minimum, a map showing project location and vicinity, and a project APE map illustrating the proposed project, upon which the APE limits have been delineated. If no cultural resources are present and a “HPSR to File” HPSR is used, the project APE map must simply be of sufficient scale to document the APE.

If cultural resources are present, the project APE map must be of sufficient scale (200' scale is preferred) and have enough project detail to demonstrate the relationship of

historic properties to the proposed project, especially important if the HPSR serves to document a Finding of No Historic Properties Affected or a Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions. Clearly show the APE, the location of all properties discussed, the boundaries of any eligible or listed historic properties, and the boundaries of any ESAs used. Include a scale and north arrow.

If there are historic properties, the APE map should be drawn to encompass the boundaries of any National Register listed or eligible properties (including previously determined eligible and newly evaluated as eligible properties). It is permissible to indicate an Area of Direct Impact (ADI), but the ADI must be entirely within the APE.

b) Photographs and Other Exhibits

Include other appropriate exhibits. Good clear exhibits and graphics are invaluable to demonstrate the arguments and decisions discussed made in the text.

Photos and graphics, which illustrate the following, can enhance the HPSR's effectiveness as a consultation tool:

- Integrity (or lack of it) of cultural resource(s)
 - Integrity of setting for cultural resource(s),
 - Engineering plans,
 - Profiles and cross-sections which show the actual limits of project effects,
- SHPO's copy of the HPSR should either contain original photographs or scanned images that are clear when printed out.

J. Attachments

Include, as appropriate:

- Archaeological Survey Report, Extended Survey Report
- Historic Resource Evaluation Report
- Bridge Evaluation
- Test Excavation Report
- Letters from historical societies, Native American groups, local governments, other special interest groups, the SHPO, etc.

Below is an example of the HPSR short form.

HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT

California Department of Transportation

1. UNDERTAKING DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION						
District	County	Route (Local Agency)	Kilo Posts (Project pre- fix)	Post Miles (Project No.)	Charge Unit (Agreement)	Expenditure Authorization (Location)

(Both kilometer posts and post miles must be completed above. For Local Assistance projects off the highway system, use headers in italics)

Project Description: (Insert project description below; refer reader to location and vicinity maps in HPSR)

2. AREA OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS

The Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the project was established in consultation with [name of Caltrans PQS], PQS discipline/level], and [name of project manager/local assistance engineer], Project Manager, on [date]. The APE maps are located [specify technical study, figure or exhibit number] in this Historic Property Survey Report.

The APE was established as [add brief description as to where and how boundaries were set].

3. CONSULTING PARTIES / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

(For the following, check the appropriate line, list names, dates, and locations and results of contacts, as appropriate. List organizations/persons contacted and attach correspondence and summarize verbal comments received as appropriate.)

- ☐ Local Government (Head of local government, Preservation Office / Planning Department)
 - ☐
- ☐ Native American Tribes, Groups and Individuals
 - ☐
- ☐ Native American Heritage Commission
 - ☐
- ☐ Local Historical Society / Historic Preservation Group (also if applicable, city archives, etc.)
 - ☐
- ☐ Public Information Meetings (list locations, dates below and attach copies of notices)
 - ☐
- ☐ Other
 - ☐

For the federal undertaking described in Part 1: To minimize redundancy and paperwork for the California Department of Transportation and the State Historic Preservation Officer, and in the spirit intended under the federal Paperwork Reduction Act (U.S.C. 44 Chapter 35), this document also satisfies consideration under California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section §15064.5(a) and, as appropriate, Public Resources Code §5024 (a)(b) and (d).

[HPSR form: 01-05]

Page 1

HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT

California Department of Transportation

4. SUMMARY OF IDENTIFICATION EFFORTS

- National Register of Historic Places Month & Year: 1979-2002 & supplements
- California Register of Historical Resources Year: 1992 & supplemental information to date
- California Inventory of Historic Resources Year: 1976
- California Historical Landmarks Year: 1995 & supplemental information to date
- California Points of Historical Interest Year: 1992 & supplemental information to date
- State Historic Resources Commission Year: 1980-present, minutes from quarterly meetings
- Caltrans Historic Highway Bridge Inventory Year: 2003 & supplemental information to date
- Archaeological Site Records *[List names of Institutions & date below]*
 -
- Other sources consulted *[e.g., historical societies, city archives, etc. List names and dates below]*
 -
- **Results:** *(provide a brief summary of records search and research results, as well as inventory findings)*
 -

5. PROPERTIES IDENTIFIED

(Check the appropriate category, list properties, or refer reader to appropriate technical study attached, according to their National Register status. Provide, as appropriate, complete address, period and level of significance, criteria, map reference, and any existing state or local designation. Do not include properties that are not within the APE. Attach previous SHPO determinations, as applicable.)

- **No cultural resources** in project APE.
- *[Name]. [Indicate whether person is Caltrans or consultant architectural historian or archaeologist], who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (Section 106 PA) Attachment 1 as a(n) [Indicate applicable PQS level], has determined that the only other properties present within the APE meet the criteria for Section 106 PA Attachment 4 (**Properties Exempt from Evaluation**).*
- **Bridges listed as Category 5** in the Caltrans Historic Highway Bridge Inventory. Appropriate pages from the Caltrans Historic Bridge Inventory are attached.
- Properties **previously determined not eligible** *(include date of determination)*:
 -
- On behalf of **FHWA, Caltrans** has **determined** the following properties are **not eligible**:
 -
- Caltrans, on behalf of FHWA, has determined that the following **archaeological sites** shall be considered eligible for the National Register without conducting subsurface testing or surface collection within the APE, for which the **establishment of an ESA** will protect the sites from any potential effects, in accordance with Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C. See attached documentation.
 -
- Properties **previously listed or determined eligible** *(include date of listing or determination)*:
 -
- On behalf of FHWA, Caltrans has determined the following properties are **eligible**:
- **State-owned** historical buildings and structures **to be added to the Master List**, per PRC §5024(d):
 -

HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT

California Department of Transportation

- **State-owned** buildings and structures that are **not eligible** for the National Register or as a State Historical Landmark:

•

6. LIST OF ATTACHED DOCUMENTATION

(Provide the author/date and peer reviewer/date of the technical report)

- Project Vicinity, Location, and APE Maps
- California Historic Bridge Inventory sheet
- Historical Resources Evaluation Report (HRER)
 -
- Archaeological Survey Report (ASR)
 -
- Archaeological Evaluation Report (CARIDAP, XPI, PII, PIII)
 -
- Other (Specify below)
 -

7. FINDINGS – HPSR to File

(Check all that apply. Do not transmit to SHPO; file copy to CCSO)

- **No properties requiring evaluation** are present within the project's APE.
- Properties **previously determined not eligible** in consultation with the SHPO, or formally determined not eligible by the Keeper of the National Register are present within the project's APE. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.
- Properties **previously determined eligible** in consultation with the SHPO, or formally determined eligible by the Keeper of the National Register are present within the project's APE, but **will not be affected** by the undertaking. Copy of SHPO/Keeper correspondence is attached.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of **No Historic Properties Affected**, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation IX.A and 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), is appropriate for this undertaking.

8. FINDINGS – HPSR to SHPO

(Check all that apply. Transmit to SHPO, copy to FHWA and CCSO)

- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined that there are properties evaluated as a result of the project that are **not eligible** for inclusion in the National Register within the project's APE. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C, Caltrans requests SHPO's concurrence in this determination.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined that there are properties evaluated as a result of the project that are **eligible** for inclusion in the National Register within the project's APE. Under Section 106 PA Stipulation VIII.C, Caltrans requests SHPO's concurrence in this determination.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a Finding of **No Historic Properties Affected**, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation IX.A and 36 CFR 800.4(d)(1), is appropriate for this undertaking.
- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a **Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions - ESAs**, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation X.B(2) and 36 CFR 800.5(b), is appropriate for this undertaking. (Include description of ESAs and enforcement measures below; attach ESA Action Plan as appropriate.)

•

HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT

California Department of Transportation

- Under the authority of FHWA, Caltrans has determined a **Finding of No Adverse Effect with Standard Conditions – Rehabilitation**, according to Section 106 PA Stipulation X.B(2) and 36 CFR 800.5(b), is appropriate for this undertaking. *[Name]*, who meets the Professionally Qualified Staff Standards in Section 106 PA Attachment 1 as Principal Architectural Historian, and has the appropriate education and experience, has reviewed the rehabilitation documentation and determined that the rehabilitation meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. *(Include description of rehabilitation below or indicate below the title of the HPSR attachment that contains the description.)*

Findings for State-Owned Properties

- Caltrans has determined that there are **state-owned buildings and structures** within the project limits that meet **National Register and/or the State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria** and requests that SHPO add such resources to the Master List of Historical Resources pursuant to PRC §5024(d).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have **no effect/no adverse effect** to **state-owned archaeological sites, objects, districts, landscapes** within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f). *(Indicate reference to Standard Conditions – ESA above, or include description of proposed treatments, ESAs, protective covenants, etc., below or indicate below which HPSR attachment contains the description.)*
 -
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have **no effect** on **state-owned buildings and structures** within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f).
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have **no adverse effect** on **state-owned buildings and structures** within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria. *[Name of Caltrans PQS], [applicable PQS discipline/level]* has reviewed the documentation and determined that it meets the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties. Caltrans is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024.5. *(Indicate reference to Standard Conditions – Rehabilitation above, or include description of proposed repairs, rehabilitation, ESAs, protective covenants, etc., below or indicate below, which HPSR attachment contains the description.)*
 -
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have an **adverse effect** to **state-owned archaeological sites, objects, districts, landscapes** within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria and is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024(f). *(Include below a description of alternatives considered and proposed mitigation measures, or indicate below which HPSR attachment contains the description.)*
 -
- Caltrans has determined that this project will have an **adverse effect** on **state-owned buildings and structures** within the project limits that meet National Register and/or State Historical Landmarks eligibility criteria. Caltrans is providing notice and summary to SHPO pursuant to PRC §5024.5. *(Include below a description of alternatives considered and proposed mitigation measures, or indicate below which HPSR attachment contains the description.)*
 -
- For **state-owned qualified historical buildings and properties** within the project limits, Caltrans has **applied the California Historical Building Code (CHBC)** to relevant sections of the current code(s) and/or standards and, if applicable, has consulted with the State Historical Building Safety Board (SHBSB) through its Executive Director pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 18961 and its implementing regulations at California Code of Regulations Title 24 Part 8 Section 8-103.2. *[Indicate below whether use of current code(s) and standards adversely affected character-defining features of the property and describe the alternative solutions under the CHBC, or indicate below which HPSR attachment contains the description. If applicable, attach copies of correspondence with the SHBSB or its Executive Director.]*
 -

HISTORIC PROPERTY SURVEY REPORT

California Department of Transportation

9. HPSR PREPARATION AND DEPARTMENT APPROVAL

Prepared by: *(sign on line)*

District ____ Caltrans
PQS/Generalist:

[PQS level and discipline]

Date

Prepared by: *(sign on line)*

Consultant / discipline:
Affiliation

[Appropriate PQS discipline]

[Firm/company and location]

Date

Reviewed for approval by: *(sign on line)*

District ____ Caltrans PQS disci-
pline/level:

[PQS certification level]

Date

Approved by: *(sign on line)*

District ____ EBC:

[Environmental Branch name]

Date

[HPSR form: 01-05]

Page 5